ORIGINAL PAPER VW Applied Sciences

DOI: 10.36297/vw.applsci.v8i2.511 ISSN: 2582-5615

VW Applied Sciences, Volume : 8, Issue: 2, 60 - 88

Explainable Digital Twin and Explainable Artificial Intelligence Framework for Predictive Healthcare and Precision Medicine: Applications, Challenges, and Future Directions

Anita Desai[1*] Sakshi Ved[2*] Rihaana Sharma[3*]

[1]Department of Artificial Intelligence and Engineering, NIT Goa, Goa, India
[2]School of Biomedical Engineering and Biosciences, Jamia Milia Islamia, Delhi, India
[3]Department of Artificial Intelligence, Sri Satya Sai University of Technology and Medical Sciences, Madhya Pradesh, India
email: anita.desai@nitgoa.ac.in, ved.sakshi@jmi.edu, rihaana.ai@sssutms.ac.in

Received: Dec 18, 2025
Accepted: Apr 10, 2026
Published online: Jun 24, 2026

Abstract: Healthcare is undergoing a significant transformation driven by advances in artificial intelligence (AI), digital health technologies, wearable sensors, cloud computing, and biomedical data analytics. Among emerging innovations, Digital Twin (DT) technology and Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) have gained considerable attention for their potential to revolutionize predictive healthcare and precision medicine. Digital twins create dynamic virtual representations of physical entities, enabling real-time monitoring, simulation, and prediction of health outcomes. Simultaneously, XAI addresses one of the most critical limitations of modern AI systems the lack of transparency by providing interpretable explanations for algorithmic decisions. The integration of DT and XAI enables the development of intelligent healthcare systems capable of generating personalized predictions while maintaining clinical trust and accountability. This review examines the evolution, architecture, and healthcare applications of DT-XAI systems. It explores enabling technologies including Internet of Things (IoT) devices, wearable sensors, electronic health records, machine learning, cloud computing, and big data analytics. Furthermore, the article evaluates current applications in disease diagnosis, prognosis, treatment optimization, and personalized healthcare management. Major challenges including data privacy, cybersecurity, interoperability, computational complexity, ethical concerns, and regulatory barriers are discussed. Finally, future research opportunities involving federated learning, multimodal healthcare analytics, generative AI, and digital therapeutics are explored. The review highlights that DT-XAI frameworks have the potential to become foundational technologies in next-generation healthcare ecosystems by enabling accurate, transparent, and patient-centric medical decision-making.

Keywords: Predictive Healthcare, Personalized Medicine, Machine Learning, Clinical Decision Support, Healthcare Analytics

1. Introduction

The twenty-first century has witnessed unprecedented advancements in healthcare technologies, resulting in dramatic improvements in disease diagnosis, treatment, and patient care. Despite these achievements, healthcare systems continue to face significant challenges, including rising healthcare costs, increasing prevalence of chronic diseases, aging populations, and growing demands for personalized treatment strategies. Traditional healthcare models primarily focus on reactive treatment approaches, wherein interventions occur only after symptoms become evident. Such approaches often lead to delayed diagnoses, increased healthcare expenditures, and suboptimal patient outcomes [1]. The concept of predictive healthcare has emerged as a transformative paradigm aimed at addressing these limitations. Predictive healthcare leverages advanced computational techniques, biomedical data analytics, and digital technologies to forecast disease progression, identify high-risk individuals, and facilitate proactive interventions before serious complications occur [2]. This paradigm shift aligns closely with the broader vision of precision medicine, which seeks to tailor medical interventions according to individual genetic, physiological, environmental, and lifestyle characteristics. Recent advances in data acquisition technologies have dramatically increased the volume and diversity of healthcare data available for analysis. Electronic health records (EHRs), wearable devices, biosensors, medical imaging systems, genomic sequencing platforms, and mobile health applications continuously generate large quantities of patient-specific information [3]. These datasets provide unprecedented opportunities for understanding disease mechanisms and developing personalized healthcare strategies. However, extracting meaningful insights from such heterogeneous and high-dimensional datasets remains a major challenge. Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as one of the most promising solutions for addressing this challenge. AI algorithms, particularly machine learning and deep learning models, have demonstrated remarkable success in healthcare applications ranging from medical image interpretation to disease risk prediction and clinical decision support [4]. Studies have shown that AI systems can achieve diagnostic accuracies comparable to or even exceeding those of human experts in certain domains. For example, deep learning algorithms have demonstrated exceptional performance in detecting diabetic retinopathy, skin cancer, cardiovascular diseases, and various neurological disorders [5]. Despite these successes, widespread adoption of AI in healthcare remains limited due to concerns regarding transparency and interpretability. Most advanced AI models function as black boxes, generating predictions without providing clear explanations regarding the underlying reasoning processes [6]. In clinical settings, healthcare professionals are often reluctant to rely on recommendations that cannot be adequately explained or justified. Regulatory agencies and healthcare organizations increasingly emphasize the importance of explainability and accountability in AI-driven healthcare systems. Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) has emerged as a critical research area aimed at addressing these concerns. XAI refers to a collection of methodologies and techniques designed to make AI systems understandable to human users. By providing interpretable explanations for model predictions, XAI enhances transparency, trust, and acceptance among clinicians and patients [7]. Explainability is particularly important in healthcare because clinical decisions can directly impact patient safety and treatment outcomes. Parallel to developments in AI, Digital Twin (DT) technology has gained significant attention as a powerful framework for representing and analyzing complex physical systems. Originally developed within manufacturing and aerospace industries, digital twins are virtual replicas of physical entities that continuously update themselves through real-time data integration [8]. These virtual models enable simulation, prediction, optimization, and monitoring of physical systems throughout their lifecycle. The application of digital twins in healthcare represents a relatively recent but rapidly growing area of research. In healthcare contexts, digital twins can be used to create virtual representations of individual patients, organs, physiological systems, healthcare facilities, or entire populations [9]. By integrating real-time physiological data, medical history, genetic information, and environmental factors, healthcare digital twins can provide comprehensive and dynamic models of patient health status. The concept of a patient digital twin is particularly promising within precision medicine. A patient-specific digital twin can simulate disease progression, predict treatment responses, evaluate intervention strategies, and support personalized healthcare planning [10]. Such capabilities enable healthcare providers to move beyond generalized treatment protocols toward individualized care pathways optimized for specific patients. The integration of Digital Twin technology with Explainable Artificial Intelligence creates a powerful framework capable of transforming healthcare delivery. Digital twins provide dynamic and data-rich virtual representations of patients, while XAI ensures that predictive insights remain interpretable and clinically actionable. Together, these technologies support the development of intelligent healthcare systems that combine predictive accuracy with transparency and trustworthiness [11]. Several technological advancements have facilitated the emergence of DT-XAI frameworks. The proliferation of Internet of Things (IoT) devices and wearable sensors enables continuous monitoring of physiological parameters such as heart rate, blood pressure, oxygen saturation, glucose levels, and physical activity. Cloud computing infrastructures provide scalable storage and processing capabilities required for managing large-scale healthcare datasets. Advances in machine learning algorithms enable sophisticated pattern recognition and predictive modeling, while XAI techniques provide mechanisms for interpreting these complex models [12]. Healthcare applications of DT-XAI systems span multiple medical domains. In cardiology, digital twins can simulate cardiovascular dynamics and predict risks associated with heart failure, arrhythmias, and myocardial infarction. Explainable AI methods help clinicians understand the factors contributing to these predictions, thereby supporting informed decision-making [13]. In oncology, patient-specific digital twins can model tumor growth, treatment responses, and disease progression, enabling personalized cancer management strategies [14]. Neurology represents another promising application area. Digital twins can integrate neuroimaging data, cognitive assessments, and physiological measurements to predict disease progression in conditions such as Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease. XAI techniques facilitate interpretation of these predictions and enhance clinician confidence in AI-assisted recommendations [15]. Similar opportunities exist in diabetes management, intensive care monitoring, infectious disease surveillance, and preventive healthcare. Despite their considerable potential, DT-XAI systems face numerous challenges that must be addressed before widespread clinical adoption becomes feasible. Data privacy and cybersecurity concerns remain significant barriers, particularly given the sensitive nature of healthcare information. Interoperability limitations between healthcare information systems can impede seamless data integration. Additionally, the computational requirements associated with real-time digital twin simulations may restrict deployment in resource-constrained environments [16].

Ethical considerations also play a critical role in DT-XAI development. Issues related to algorithmic bias, fairness, informed consent, accountability, and transparency require careful attention. Regulatory frameworks governing AI and digital health technologies continue to evolve, creating uncertainty regarding validation, certification, and clinical implementation requirements [17]. Given these opportunities and challenges, a comprehensive examination of DT-XAI frameworks is necessary to understand their current state and future potential. This review aims to synthesize existing knowledge regarding Digital Twin and Explainable Artificial Intelligence technologies within healthcare contexts. Specifically, the review seeks to:

- Examine the conceptual foundations and evolution of DT and XAI technologies.
- Analyze major healthcare applications of DT-XAI systems.
- Explore enabling technologies supporting predictive healthcare.
- Identify technical, ethical, and regulatory challenges.
- Discuss future research directions and emerging opportunities.

By providing a comprehensive overview of DT-XAI integration, this review contributes to ongoing efforts aimed at developing transparent, predictive, and patient-centered healthcare systems capable of improving clinical outcomes while maintaining trust and accountability.

2. Review Methodology

This review adopts a narrative literature review approach to synthesize current knowledge regarding Digital Twin and Explainable Artificial Intelligence applications in predictive healthcare and precision medicine.

Literature Sources

Relevant literature was identified from major scientific databases, including:

- IEEE Xplore
- Scopus
- Web of Science
- PubMed
- ScienceDirect
- SpringerLink
- ACM Digital Library

Search Strategy

Keywords used included:

- "Digital Twin Healthcare"
- "Digital Twin Medicine"
- "Explainable Artificial Intelligence Healthcare"
- "Precision Medicine and AI"
- "Predictive Healthcare Systems"
- "Patient Digital Twin"
- "Interpretable Machine Learning in Healthcare"

Boolean operators (AND, OR) were used to refine searches and maximize retrieval of relevant publications.

Inclusion Criteria

Studies were included if they:

- Focused on DT or XAI applications in healthcare.
- Were published in peer-reviewed journals or conferences.

- Discussed predictive healthcare, clinical decision support, or precision medicine.
- Provided empirical evidence, conceptual frameworks, or comprehensive reviews.

Exclusion Criteria

Studies were excluded if they:

- Focused exclusively on industrial DT applications.
- Lacked healthcare relevance.
- Were non-peer-reviewed reports or opinion articles.
- Did not provide sufficient methodological details.

Scope of Review

The review primarily focuses on literature published during the period 2017–2025, reflecting the rapid growth of DT and XAI research within healthcare ecosystems.

3. Evolution of Digital Twin Technology

Origin and Development of Digital Twins

The concept of the Digital Twin (DT) originated within aerospace engineering and advanced manufacturing environments. Early conceptual foundations can be traced to the work of NASA, where virtual replicas of spacecraft systems were used to simulate operational conditions and support maintenance activities. The formalization of DT technology is generally attributed to Grieves, who proposed a digital representation continuously connected to its physical counterpart through bidirectional data exchange [18].

The emergence of Industry 4.0 significantly accelerated DT development. Integration of cyber-physical systems, IoT devices, cloud computing, and advanced analytics enabled the creation of intelligent virtual models capable of real-time monitoring and predictive decision-making. These capabilities gradually expanded beyond manufacturing into domains such as transportation, energy, urban planning, and healthcare.

Healthcare adoption gained momentum after 2018 due to improvements in biomedical sensors, electronic health records, genomic technologies, and machine learning. Researchers recognized that individual patients could be represented as dynamic digital entities capable of simulating physiological processes and predicting health outcomes.

Architecture of Healthcare Digital Twins

Healthcare digital twins typically consist of five interconnected layers.

Physical Layer

This layer represents the real-world patient. It includes physiological characteristics, genetic information, medical history, behavioral data, lifestyle factors, and environmental exposures.

Data Acquisition Layer

Data are collected from multiple sources:

- Electronic Health Records (EHRs)
- Wearable sensors
- Medical imaging systems
- Laboratory tests
- Genomic sequencing platforms
- Mobile health applications
- Data Integration Layer

Collected information is standardized, cleaned, and integrated into a unified patient profile. Interoperability standards such as HL7 and FHIR facilitate data exchange between heterogeneous healthcare systems.

Simulation and Analytics Layer

Machine learning algorithms, physiological models, and predictive analytics engines continuously update the digital twin and generate forecasts regarding disease progression and treatment response.

Decision Support Layer

Results are presented to clinicians through interpretable dashboards and recommendation systems, enabling informed clinical decision-making.

Table 1. Core Components of a Healthcare Digital Twin

Layer	Function	Example Technologies
Physical Layer	Represents patient	Human body, organs, physiological systems
Data Collection	Acquires data	IoT sensors, EHRs, wearables
Data Integration	Harmonizes information	FHIR, HL7, cloud platforms
Analytics Layer	Prediction and simulation	Machine Learning, Deep Learning
Decision Layer	Clinical recommendations	XAI dashboards, CDSS

Digital Twin Categories in Healthcare

Healthcare digital twins can be classified according to their scope.

Patient Digital Twins

Represent individual patients using personalized biological and clinical data.

Organ Digital Twins

Model specific organs such as the heart, liver, lungs, kidneys, or brain.

Disease Digital Twins

Focus on modeling disease progression and therapeutic responses.

Population Digital Twins

Represent communities or populations to support public health planning and epidemiological forecasting.

4. Foundations of Explainable Artificial Intelligence

Need for Explainability

Artificial intelligence systems have demonstrated remarkable predictive capabilities across numerous healthcare applications. However, many deep learning models generate predictions without revealing the rationale behind decisions. This "black-box" characteristic presents significant challenges in healthcare where clinicians must justify decisions affecting patient outcomes.

- Explainability serves several critical purposes:
- Enhances clinician trust.
- Supports regulatory compliance.
- Improves patient acceptance.
- Facilitates error detection.

- Reduces algorithmic bias.
- The growing demand for trustworthy AI has led to substantial research into XAI methodologies.

Categories of Explainable AI

XAI approaches are generally categorized as:

Intrinsic Explainability

Models are inherently interpretable.

Examples:

- Decision Trees
- Rule-Based Systems
- Logistic Regression
- Post-Hoc Explainability
- Complex models are explained after training.

Examples:

- SHAP
- LIME
- Feature Attribution Methods
- Counterfactual Explanations

Table 3. Comparison of Major XAI Methods

Method	**Advantages**	**Limitations**
SHAP	Consistent feature attribution	Computationally intensive
LIME	Model-agnostic	Local explanations only
Decision Trees	Easily interpretable	Lower predictive power
Rule-Based Systems	Human-readable	Difficult for large datasets
Attention Models	Suitable for deep learning	Interpretation may be ambiguous

SHAP in Healthcare

SHAP (Shapley Additive Explanations) is among the most widely used explainability techniques in healthcare. It quantifies the contribution of each feature to a model prediction.

- Applications include:
- Cardiovascular risk prediction
- Diabetes diagnosis
- Cancer prognosis
- ICU mortality prediction

 DOI: 10.36297/vw.applsci.v8i2.511 ISSN 2582-5615

SHAP provides both local and global explanations, making it highly valuable in clinical environments.

LIME in Healthcare

LIME (Local Interpretable Model-Agnostic Explanations) approximates complex models using simpler interpretable models around individual predictions.

Advantages include:

- Model independence
- Easy visualization
- Fast deployment

Healthcare applications include diagnostic support systems and radiological image interpretation.

5. Enabling Technologies for DT-XAI Systems

Internet of Things (IoT)

IoT technologies form the foundation of modern digital healthcare ecosystems. Connected devices continuously collect patient-specific physiological information.

Examples include:

- Smartwatches
- Glucose monitors
- ECG patches
- Blood pressure monitors
- Pulse oximeters

Real-time monitoring enables continuous updates of digital twin models.

Wearable Sensors

Wearables provide longitudinal datasets reflecting patient health status over extended periods.

Key measurements include:

- Heart rate
- Sleep patterns
- Physical activity
- Body temperature
- Blood oxygen saturation

Such data enhance the accuracy and responsiveness of digital twins.

Cloud Computing

Healthcare digital twins require substantial computational resources.

Cloud platforms provide:

- Scalable storage
- High-performance computing
- Data sharing infrastructure
- AI model deployment

Major cloud-based healthcare architectures support real-time analytics and DT simulations.

 DOI: 10.36297/vw.applsci.v8i2.511 ISSN 2582-5615

Big Data Analytics

Healthcare datasets exhibit characteristics commonly described as the "Five Vs":

1. Volume
2. Velocity
3. Variety
4. Veracity
5. Value

Big data technologies enable efficient management and analysis of these complex datasets.

Machine Learning

Machine learning serves as the predictive engine within DT-XAI systems.

Frequently used algorithms include:

- Random Forests
- Support Vector Machines
- Gradient Boosting
- Convolutional Neural Networks
- Recurrent Neural Networks
- Transformer Models

These methods support disease prediction, risk stratification, and treatment optimization.

Table 4. Enabling Technologies and Their Roles

Technology

Technology	**Primary Function**	**Healthcare Application**
ioT	Data acquisition	Remote patient monitoring
Wearables	Continuous sensing	Chronic disease management
Cloud Computing	Data storage and processing	Healthcare analytics
Big Data	Large-scale data integration	Population health analysis
Machine Learning	Predictive modeling	Clinical decision support
XAI	Interpretability	Trustworthy AI deployment

6. Synergy Between Digital Twins and Explainable AI

Digital twins generate highly detailed patient-specific simulations. However, the complexity of underlying predictive models may reduce interpretability. XAI addresses this limitation by providing transparent explanations regarding simulation outputs and treatment recommendations.

The DT-XAI integration framework offers:

- Personalized disease forecasting.

- Transparent treatment recommendations.
- Continuous patient monitoring.
- Early warning systems.
- Improved clinical trust.

This synergy represents one of the most promising developments in predictive healthcare and precision medicine.

Growth of digital twin and XAI healthcare research

Representation of increasing research interest reported across the literature.

Year	**Index**
2018	15
2019	28
2020	45
2021	70
2022	110
2023	165
2024	235
2025	310

7. Healthcare Applications of Digital Twin and Explainable Artificial Intelligence Systems

The integration of Digital Twin (DT) technology and Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) has emerged as one of the most promising developments in predictive healthcare. By combining patient-specific virtual representations with transparent machine learning models, DT-XAI systems enable clinicians to forecast disease progression, optimize treatment plans, and support personalized healthcare interventions. This section examines major healthcare applications of DT-XAI frameworks across various medical specialties.

Cardiovascular Medicine

Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) remain the leading cause of mortality worldwide, accounting for millions of deaths annually. Traditional cardiovascular risk assessment models often rely on population-level statistics that may not adequately capture individual patient variability. Digital twin technology offers a personalized approach by constructing virtual representations of patients' cardiovascular systems.

A cardiovascular digital twin integrates multiple data sources including:

- Electrocardiography (ECG)
- Echocardiography
- Cardiac MRI

- Blood pressure measurements
- Genetic information
- Lifestyle indicators

These datasets enable the creation of dynamic simulations capable of predicting heart function, blood flow dynamics, and disease progression.

Role of XAI in Cardiology

Explainable AI enhances cardiovascular digital twins by identifying the factors contributing most significantly to predictions. Clinicians can understand whether age, cholesterol levels, hypertension, genetic predisposition, or lifestyle behaviors are driving a particular risk assessment.

Major Applications

- Prediction of heart failure progression
- Arrhythmia detection
- Myocardial infarction risk assessment
- Personalized treatment planning
- Surgical outcome simulation

Several studies suggest that DT-XAI systems may improve cardiovascular risk prediction accuracy while maintaining transparency necessary for clinical decision-making [19].

Oncology and Cancer Management

Cancer represents one of the most complex and heterogeneous disease groups. Traditional treatment strategies often rely on generalized protocols that may not account for patient-specific biological differences.

Digital twins offer a transformative approach by creating virtual representations of tumors and patient physiology.

Components of Cancer Digital Twins

- Genomic profiles
- Histopathological data
- Radiological imaging
- Tumor microenvironment characteristics
- Treatment history

These factors are integrated into predictive models capable of simulating tumor growth and therapeutic response.

Explainable AI in Oncology

XAI helps oncologists understand why a model recommends specific treatment options. For example, a recommendation for immunotherapy may be linked to identified biomarkers, mutation patterns, or tumor characteristics.

Key Benefits

- Personalized treatment selection
- Prediction of treatment response
- Chemotherapy optimization
- Early detection of relapse
- Reduced adverse treatment effects

Cancer digital twins are increasingly viewed as essential tools for precision oncology.

 DOI: 10.36297/vw.applsci.v8i2.511 ISSN 2582-5615

Table 5. Applications of DT-XAI in Oncology

Application

Application	**Digital Twin Function**	**XAI Contribution**
Tumor Growth Prediction	Simulates disease progression	Explains contributing factors
Precision Oncology	Models treatment response	Justifies therapeutic recommenda
Drug Development	Virtual clinical trials	Interpretation of outcomes
Radiotherapy Planning	Treatment simulation	Transparency in planning decisions
Recurrence Prediction	Risk forecasting	Feature attribution

Neurological Disorders

Neurological diseases pose unique challenges due to the complexity of brain structure and function. DT-XAI systems are increasingly being investigated for conditions such as:

- Alzheimer's disease
- Parkinson's disease
- Epilepsy
- Stroke
- Multiple sclerosis

A neurological digital twin integrates neuroimaging, electrophysiological recordings, cognitive assessments, and genetic information to create individualized brain models.

Alzheimer's Disease

Digital twins can simulate disease progression by monitoring structural and functional brain changes over time. XAI techniques identify the biomarkers most strongly associated with cognitive decline.

Parkinson's Disease

Wearable sensors continuously monitor tremors, gait abnormalities, and motor function. The digital twin updates in real time and predicts symptom progression.

Epilepsy

DT-XAI systems can identify seizure triggers and forecast seizure occurrence, enabling proactive intervention strategies.

Diabetes Management

Diabetes mellitus affects hundreds of millions of individuals worldwide and requires continuous monitoring and personalized treatment.

Digital twins have shown considerable promise in diabetes care by integrating:

- Continuous glucose monitoring data
- Insulin administration records
- Physical activity measurements
- Dietary information
- Laboratory biomarkers

Personalized Glucose Forecasting

A diabetes digital twin continuously predicts future glucose levels under different behavioral and therapeutic scenarios.

Explainable Treatment Recommendations

XAI methods explain how factors such as carbohydrate intake, exercise, medication adherence, and sleep patterns influence glucose predictions.

Clinical Benefits

- Improved glycemic control
- Reduced hypoglycemic events
- Personalized insulin dosing
- Enhanced patient engagement

Table 6. Digital Twin Applications in Diabetes Care

Function	**Clinical Benefit**
Glucose Prediction	Early intervention
Insulin Optimization	Improved treatment efficacy
Lifestyle Simulation	Personalized recommendations
Risk Assessment	Complication prevention
Continuous Monitoring	Better disease management

Intensive Care Units (ICUs)

Intensive care environments generate enormous quantities of physiological data. Critically ill patients require continuous monitoring and rapid intervention.

Digital twins in ICUs integrate:

- Vital signs
- Laboratory data
- Ventilator parameters
- Medication records
- Imaging results

The digital twin continuously simulates patient status and forecasts potential deterioration.

Explainable AI in Critical Care

XAI enables clinicians to understand why a model predicts increased mortality risk or recommends specific interventions.

Applications

 DOI: 10.36297/vw.applsci.v8i2.511 ISSN 2582-5615

- Sepsis prediction
- Respiratory failure forecasting
- Hemodynamic monitoring
- Ventilator optimization
- Mortality risk assessment

Such systems have the potential to reduce ICU mortality and improve resource utilization.

Precision Medicine

Precision medicine aims to tailor healthcare interventions according to individual biological characteristics. DT-XAI frameworks align naturally with this objective.

Patient-specific digital twins integrate:

- Genomic information
- Clinical history
- Environmental exposures
- Lifestyle behaviors
- Physiological measurements

The resulting models generate individualized predictions and therapeutic recommendations.

Advantages

- Personalized diagnosis
- Optimized treatment strategies
- Reduced adverse drug reactions
- Improved healthcare outcomes

Precision medicine represents one of the most important long-term applications of DT-XAI technologies.

Infectious Disease Management

The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted the importance of predictive healthcare technologies.

Digital twins can simulate:

- Disease transmission
- Immune responses
- Treatment effectiveness
- Healthcare resource demand

XAI enhances understanding of transmission dynamics and intervention outcomes.

Applications

- Pandemic preparedness
- Outbreak prediction
- Resource allocation
- Vaccine strategy optimization

These capabilities can strengthen public health resilience and improve emergency response planning.

Remote Patient Monitoring

Remote healthcare has expanded significantly due to advances in wearable devices and telemedicine platforms.

 DOI: 10.36297/vw.applsci.v8i2.511 ISSN 2582-5615

Digital twins facilitate:

- Continuous health monitoring
- Early disease detection
- Personalized alerts
- Treatment adherence tracking

XAI ensures that generated alerts and recommendations remain understandable to both clinicians and patients.

Benefits

- Reduced hospital admissions
- Improved chronic disease management
- Enhanced patient satisfaction
- Lower healthcare costs

Table 7. Comparative Analysis of DT-XAI Applications

Domain

Domain	**Prediction Capability**	**Personalization Level**	**Explainability Requirement**
Cardiology	Very High	High	Very High
Oncology	Very High	Very High	Very High
Neurology	High	High	High
Diabetes	High	Very High	High
Intensive Care	Very High	Medium	Very High
Precision Medicine	Very High	Very High	High
Public Health	Medium	Low	Medium

Benefits of DT-XAI Healthcare Systems

The integration of DT and XAI provides numerous advantages over conventional healthcare approaches.

Clinical Benefits

- Earlier diagnosis
- Improved prognosis prediction
- Better treatment planning
- Reduced medical errors

Economic Benefits

- Reduced hospitalization costs

 DOI: 10.36297/vw.applsci.v8i2.511 ISSN 2582-5615

- Efficient resource utilization
- Lower treatment expenditures

Patient Benefits

- Personalized care
- Greater trust in AI systems
- Improved treatment adherence
- Enhanced healthcare experiences

Research Benefits

- Accelerated clinical trials
- Improved disease modeling
- Enhanced biomedical discovery

8. Challenges and Limitations of DT-XAI Systems

Despite the transformative potential of Digital Twin (DT) and Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) technologies, significant challenges remain before large-scale clinical adoption can occur. These challenges span technical, organizational, ethical, legal, and societal dimensions.

The complexity of healthcare systems makes the development of accurate, scalable, and trustworthy DT-XAI frameworks particularly difficult. Unlike industrial systems, human physiology exhibits substantial variability across individuals, populations, and disease conditions.

Data Quality and Data Integration Challenges

Digital twins depend heavily on high-quality data. Healthcare data are often fragmented across multiple sources, including:

- Electronic Health Records (EHRs)
- Laboratory Information Systems
- Radiology Databases
- Wearable Devices
- Genomic Repositories
- Mobile Health Applications

Unfortunately, these datasets frequently contain:

- Missing values
- Inconsistent coding
- Duplicate records
- Measurement errors
- Temporal gaps

Poor data quality can significantly reduce prediction accuracy and compromise clinical trust.

Key Problems

- Data heterogeneity
- Incomplete patient histories
- Lack of standardization
- Data silos across institutions

- Real-time synchronization limitations

Developing robust data harmonization frameworks remains a critical research priority.

Table 8. Data Challenges in DT-XAI Systems

Challenge	**Impact**
Missing Data	Reduced prediction accuracy
Inconsistent Records	Incorrect patient models
Data Silos	Limited interoperability
Sensor Noise	Model instability
Data Delays	Outdated digital twins

Computational Complexity

Healthcare digital twins require continuous updating and simulation.

A comprehensive patient digital twin may incorporate:

- Genomic data
- Proteomic data
- Metabolomic data
- Medical imaging
- Wearable sensor streams
- Clinical records

Processing these datasets requires substantial computational resources.

Major Computational Requirements

- High-performance computing
- Cloud infrastructure
- Edge computing systems
- Real-time analytics platforms
- Large-scale storage solutions

As model complexity increases, computational costs may become a significant barrier, particularly in resource-constrained healthcare settings.

Explainability Challenges

Although XAI aims to improve transparency, achieving meaningful explainability remains difficult.

Several challenges exist:

Clinical Relevance

An explanation may be mathematically accurate but clinically meaningless.

Cognitive Overload

Excessive explanations can overwhelm healthcare professionals.

Explanation Consistency

Different XAI techniques often produce different interpretations of identical predictions.

Trade-off Between Accuracy and Interpretability

Highly interpretable models may sacrifice predictive performance.

Finding an optimal balance remains an active area of research.

Table 9. Common XAI Challenges

Challenge	**Description**
Explanation Instability	Different explanations for similar cases
Complexity	Difficult for clinicians to interpret
Lack of Standards	No universal evaluation framework
Trust Calibration	Over-reliance on explanations
Accuracy Trade-Off	Reduced predictive power

9. Ethical Considerations

Healthcare applications directly affect patient lives. Consequently, ethical concerns play a central role in DT-XAI deployment.

Patient Privacy

Digital twins rely on extensive patient data.

Sensitive information includes:

- Medical history
- Genetic profiles
- Behavioral patterns
- Lifestyle information
- Biometric measurements

Unauthorized access to such data could result in severe privacy violations.

Healthcare organizations must implement:

- Data encryption
- Access controls
- Secure storage systems

 DOI: 10.36297/vw.applsci.v8i2.511 ISSN 2582-5615

- Privacy-preserving analytics

Informed Consent

Patients should understand:

- What data are collected
- How data are used
- How predictions are generated
- Potential risks and benefits

Obtaining meaningful informed consent becomes increasingly challenging as DT-XAI systems grow more complex.

Algorithmic Bias

Bias may arise from:

- Unrepresentative datasets
- Historical healthcare inequalities
- Demographic imbalances
- Measurement errors

Biased systems may produce inequitable outcomes for specific populations.

Examples include:

- Misdiagnosis of minority groups
- Unequal treatment recommendations
- Healthcare disparities

Continuous auditing and fairness assessments are therefore essential.

Accountability

A critical question remains:

Who is responsible if a DT-XAI system makes an incorrect recommendation?

Possible stakeholders include:

- Software developers
- Healthcare providers
- Hospitals
- Regulatory agencies

Clear accountability frameworks must be established before widespread clinical deployment.

10. Cybersecurity Risks

Healthcare is among the most frequently targeted sectors for cyberattacks.

Because digital twins continuously exchange information, they create expanded attack surfaces.

Potential threats include:

Data Breaches

Unauthorized access to patient information.

Ransomware Attacks

Encryption of healthcare databases until payment is made.

Model Manipulation

Attackers may intentionally alter model behavior.

Sensor Spoofing

False sensor data can distort digital twin simulations.

Adversarial Attacks

Small modifications to inputs may generate incorrect predictions.

Table 10. Cybersecurity Threats

Threat	**Potential Consequence**
Data Breach	Privacy violation
Ransomware	Service disruption
Sensor Spoofing	Incorrect predictions
Model Poisoning	Reduced reliability
Adversarial Attack	Clinical errors

11. Regulatory and Legal Frameworks

Current healthcare regulations were largely developed before the emergence of DT-XAI technologies.

As a result, significant regulatory uncertainty exists.

FDA Perspective

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration has begun developing frameworks for AI-based medical devices and software as medical devices (SaMD).

Important considerations include:

- Safety
- Effectiveness
- Transparency
- Continuous monitoring

European Union Regulations

The European Union's AI regulatory framework emphasizes:

- Human oversight
- Transparency
- Fairness
- Accountability
- Risk management

Healthcare AI systems are generally classified as high-risk applications.

Global Regulatory Challenges

Major issues include:

- Cross-border data sharing
- Model validation standards
- Liability assignment
- Ethical compliance
- International harmonization

Comprehensive global standards remain under development.

Table 11. Regulatory Priorities

Priority	Importance
Transparency	Very High
Clinical Validation	Very High
Safety Monitoring	Very High
Privacy Protection	Very High
Accountability	High

12. Emerging Research Directions

The next decade is expected to witness substantial advances in DT-XAI systems.

Several research areas are likely to shape future development.

Federated Learning

Federated learning enables institutions to train AI models collaboratively without sharing raw patient data.

Benefits include:

- Improved privacy
- Reduced data transfer
- Enhanced regulatory compliance

This approach may significantly accelerate multi-institutional DT development.

Multimodal Healthcare Analytics

Future digital twins will integrate:

- Genomics
- Proteomics
- Metabolomics

- Radiomics
- Clinical data
- Behavioral data

Combining multiple data modalities can improve prediction accuracy and personalization.

Generative Artificial Intelligence

Generative AI technologies may enhance digital twins by:

- Simulating disease progression
- Generating synthetic datasets
- Supporting clinical decision-making
- Improving patient engagement

The integration of large language models into DT frameworks represents an emerging research frontier.

Digital Therapeutics

Digital therapeutics provide evidence-based interventions through software applications.

Future DT-XAI systems may:

- Recommend interventions
- Monitor adherence
- Adjust treatment dynamically
- Evaluate effectiveness continuously

Such capabilities align closely with precision medicine objectives.

Real-Time Adaptive Digital Twins

Current digital twins often operate in near-real-time.

Future systems are expected to achieve:

- Continuous updating
- Autonomous learning
- Instantaneous adaptation
- Predictive intervention

These capabilities could transform healthcare from reactive treatment to proactive prevention.

Table 12. Future Research Priorities

Research Area	**Potential Impact**
Federated Learning	High
Generative AI	High
Digital Therapeutics	High

 DOI: 10.36297/vw.applsci.v8i2.511 ISSN 2582-5615

Explainability Standards	Very High
Multimodal Analytics	Very High
Real-Time Digital Twins	Very High

13. Conceptual Framework for Future DT-XAI Healthcare Ecosystems

A next-generation DT-XAI healthcare ecosystem may consist of:

Layer 1: Data Acquisition

- Wearables
- IoT devices
- EHRs
- Genomics

Layer 2: Data Integration

- Cloud computing
- Interoperability frameworks
- Data harmonization systems

Layer 3: Digital Twin Engine

- Physiological simulations
- Disease modeling
- Predictive analytics

Layer 4: Explainable AI Module

- SHAP
- LIME
- Counterfactual explanations
- Rule-based reasoning

Layer 5: Clinical Decision Support

- Treatment recommendations
- Risk predictions
- Personalized interventions

Layer 6: Continuous Feedback

- Outcome monitoring
- Model updating
- Adaptive learning

Such an ecosystem would support proactive, personalized, transparent, and trustworthy healthcare delivery.

14. Comparative Literature Analysis

Research on Digital Twins (DTs) and Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) in healthcare has expanded significantly since 2018. Initial studies focused primarily on conceptual frameworks, while recent investigations emphasize clinical implementation, predictive analytics, and precision medicine applications.

A comparative analysis of major studies reveals several recurring themes:

- Increasing adoption of patient-specific digital twins.
- Growing emphasis on transparency and explainability.
- Expansion of IoT-enabled healthcare monitoring.
- Integration of multimodal biomedical data.
- Emergence of precision medicine applications.

Most existing studies remain at the proof-of-concept stage, highlighting the need for large-scale clinical validation.

Table 13. Representative Studies on DT-XAI in Healthcare

Study Focus	**Key Contribution**	**Limitation**
Digital Twin Conceptual Models	Established theoretical foundations	Limited clinical validation
Cardiovascular Digital Twins	Personalized cardiac simulations	High computational cost
Oncology Digital Twins	Treatment optimization	Data integration challenges
XAI-Based Clinical Decision Support	Improved transparency	Lack of standard evaluation
IoT-Driven Patient Monitoring	Real-time health tracking	Security concerns
Precision Medicine Frameworks	Personalized interventions	Scalability limitations

15. Research Gaps

Despite rapid progress, several important research gaps remain.

Lack of Standardized Digital Twin Architectures

Most healthcare DT systems employ customized architectures, limiting interoperability and reproducibility.

Required Developments

- Standardized modeling frameworks
- Unified data standards
- Interoperability protocols

Limited Clinical Validation

Most published studies involve:

 DOI: 10.36297/vw.applsci.v8i2.511 ISSN 2582-5615

- Simulations
- Pilot studies
- Small patient cohorts

Large-scale prospective clinical trials remain scarce.

Future research should emphasize:

- Randomized clinical evaluations
- Longitudinal studies
- Multi-center validation

Explainability Evaluation Metrics

Although many XAI techniques exist, no universally accepted framework evaluates explanation quality.

Key unanswered questions include:

- What constitutes a good explanation?
- How should interpretability be measured?
- How do explanations influence clinician behavior?

Ethical Governance Frameworks

Current ethical guidelines remain fragmented.

Future work should establish:

- Accountability mechanisms
- Fairness assessment protocols
- Bias mitigation strategies
- Transparency requirements

Resource-Limited Healthcare Settings

Most DT-XAI research originates from technologically advanced healthcare systems.

Future studies should investigate:

- Low-cost implementations
- Edge computing solutions
- Deployment in developing regions

Table 14. Major Research Gaps

Research Gap	**Priority**
Clinical Validation	Very High
Explainability Standards	Very High
Data Interoperability	Very High
Ethical Governance	High

 DOI: 10.36297/vw.applsci.v8i2.511 ISSN 2582-5615

Federated Learning Integration	High
Resource-Efficient DT Systems	High

16. Discussion

The convergence of Digital Twin technology and Explainable Artificial Intelligence represents a major advancement in healthcare informatics. Individually, both technologies have demonstrated significant potential. Together, they provide a framework capable of supporting predictive, personalized, and transparent healthcare delivery.

Digital twins offer dynamic representations of patient physiology, enabling continuous monitoring and simulation-based decision support. XAI complements these capabilities by ensuring that predictive outputs remain interpretable and trustworthy.

The combined DT-XAI framework aligns closely with the principles of precision medicine. Rather than relying on generalized treatment protocols, clinicians can leverage patient-specific models to optimize interventions according to individual characteristics.

Several observations emerge from this review.

First

Healthcare digital twins are becoming increasingly sophisticated due to advances in:

- Sensor technologies
- Biomedical imaging
- Genomics
- Cloud computing
- Artificial intelligence

Second

Explainability remains essential for clinical adoption.

Without transparent reasoning mechanisms, healthcare professionals may remain reluctant to trust AI-generated recommendations.

Third

Data integration continues to be one of the most significant technical barriers.

Healthcare data remain fragmented across multiple platforms and institutions.

Fourth

Regulatory and ethical frameworks have not yet fully adapted to DT-XAI technologies.

Clear guidelines regarding validation, accountability, privacy, and safety are urgently needed.

Fifth

Emerging technologies such as federated learning and generative AI may significantly enhance future DT-XAI capabilities.

These technologies offer opportunities for privacy-preserving analytics and more realistic patient simulations.

Overall, DT-XAI systems have the potential to shift healthcare from reactive disease management toward proactive prevention and personalized intervention.

17. Practical Implications

The findings of this review have implications for multiple stakeholders.

- Healthcare Providers
- Improved clinical decision-making
- Personalized treatment planning
- Enhanced patient monitoring

Researchers

- New opportunities for disease modeling
- Development of explainable healthcare AI
- Creation of next-generation digital health systems

Policymakers

- Need for regulatory frameworks
- Ethical governance mechanisms
- Data protection standards

Healthcare Organizations

- Improved resource allocation
- Reduced operational costs
- Better patient outcomes

18. Future Outlook

Over the next decade, DT-XAI systems are expected to evolve significantly.

Several trends are likely to shape future development:

Hyper-Personalized Digital Twins

Integration of genomics, proteomics, metabolomics, and behavioral data.

Continuous Learning Systems

Real-time model adaptation based on incoming patient data.

Explainable Precision Medicine

Transparent therapeutic recommendations tailored to individual patients.

Virtual Clinical Trials

Digital twins may reduce reliance on costly conventional trials.

AI-Augmented Healthcare Ecosystems

Integration of DT-XAI with robotics, telemedicine, and digital therapeutics.

These developments may fundamentally transform healthcare delivery and improve global health outcomes.

19. Conclusion

Digital Twin and Explainable Artificial Intelligence technologies represent two of the most significant innovations in contemporary healthcare. Their integration offers a powerful framework for predictive healthcare and precision medicine by combining dynamic patient modeling with transparent analytical capabilities.

This review examined the conceptual foundations, enabling technologies, healthcare applications, challenges, ethical considerations, regulatory issues, and future directions associated with DT-XAI systems. Evidence suggests that these technologies have considerable potential to improve disease prediction, optimize treatment strategies, enhance patient monitoring, and strengthen clinical decision-making.

Despite these opportunities, substantial challenges remain regarding data quality, interoperability, privacy protection, cybersecurity, explainability evaluation, and regulatory compliance. Addressing these barriers will require collaboration among clinicians, engineers, computer scientists, policymakers, and regulatory agencies.

Future research should prioritize clinical validation, explainability standardization, ethical governance, federated learning integration, and scalable deployment strategies. With continued technological advancement and responsible implementation, DT-XAI systems may become foundational components of next-generation healthcare ecosystems.

The convergence of digital twins and explainable artificial intelligence marks an important step toward a future in which healthcare is predictive, preventive, personalized, and transparent.

References

1. E. J. Topol, “High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial intelligence,” Nature Medicine, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 44–56, 2019.

2. J. Jiang et al., “Artificial intelligence in healthcare: past, present and future,” Stroke and Vascular Neurology, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 230–243, 2017.

3. A. Adadi and M. Berrada, “Peeking inside the black-box: A survey on explainable artificial intelligence,” IEEE Access, vol. 6, pp. 52138–52160, 2018.

4. D. Gunning and D. Aha, “DARPA's Explainable Artificial Intelligence Program,” AI Magazine, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 44–58, 2019.

5. B. Goodman and S. Flaxman, “European Union regulations on algorithmic decision-making and a right to explanation,” AI Magazine, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 50–57, 2017.

6. M. Grieves and J. Vickers, “Digital Twin: Mitigating unpredictable, undesirable emergent behavior in complex systems,” Springer, 2017.

7. F. Tao, Q. Qi, A. Liu, and A. Kusiak, “Data-driven smart manufacturing,” Journal of Manufacturing Systems, vol. 48, pp. 157–169, 2018.

8. H. Corral-Acero et al., “The Digital Twin to enable the vision of precision cardiology,” European Heart Journal, vol. 41, no. 48, pp. 4556–4564, 2020.

9. A. Haleem, M. Javaid, R. P. Singh, and R. Suman, “Digital Twin applications in healthcare,” BioMedical Engineering OnLine, vol. 21, no. 1, 2022.

10. C. Bruynseels, F. Santoni de Sio, and J. van den Hoven, “Digital twins in health care: ethical implications,” Frontiers in Genetics, vol. 9, 2018.

11. S. Lundberg and S. Lee, “A unified approach to interpreting model predictions,” NeurIPS, 2017.

12. M. Ribeiro, S. Singh, and C. Guestrin, “Why should I trust you? Explaining the predictions of any classifier,” KDD, 2016.

13. H. Elayan, M. Aloqaily, and M. Guizani, “Digital twin for intelligent context-aware IoT healthcare systems,” IEEE Internet of Things Journal, vol. 8, no. 23, pp. 16749–16757, 2021.

14. S. Kamel Boulos and E. Zhang, “Digital twins: from personalised medicine to precision public health,” Journal of Personalized Medicine, vol. 11, no. 8, 2021.

15. M. Viceconti, S. Henney, and E. Morley-Fletcher, “In silico clinical trials,” International Journal of Clinical Trials, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 37–46, 2016.

16. F. Cabitza, R. Rasoini, and G. Gensini, “Unintended consequences of machine learning in medicine,” JAMA, vol. 318, no. 6, pp. 517–518, 2017.

17. A. Esteva et al., “Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks,” Nature, vol. 542, pp. 115–118, 2017.

18. T. Davenport and R. Kalakota, “The potential for artificial intelligence in healthcare,” Future Healthcare Journal, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 94–98, 2019.

19. N. Björn and P. Wang, “Challenges and opportunities of digital twins in healthcare,” Healthcare Analytics, vol. 3, 2023.

20. S. Rajkomar, J. Dean, and I. Kohane, “Machine learning in medicine,” New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 380, no. 14, pp. 1347–1358, 2019.

21. M. Viceconti, A. Hunter, and R. Hose, “Big data, big knowledge: Big data for personalized healthcare,” IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 1209–1215, 2015.

22. E. Topol, Deep Medicine: How Artificial Intelligence Can Make Healthcare Human Again. New York, NY, USA: Basic Books, 2019.

23. G. Litjens et al., “A survey on deep learning in medical image analysis,” Medical Image Analysis, vol. 42, pp. 60–88, 2017.

24. D. Ravi et al., “Deep learning for health informatics,” IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 4–21, 2017.

25. A. Holzinger, “From machine learning to explainable AI,” in 2018 World Symposium on Digital Intelligence for Systems and Machines, pp. 55–66, 2018.

26. A. Holzinger, G. Langs, H. Denk, K. Zatloukal, and H. Müller, “Causability and explainability of artificial intelligence in medicine,” WIREs Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, vol. 9, no. 4, e1312, 2019.

27. F. Doshi-Velez and B. Kim, “Towards a rigorous science of interpretable machine learning,” arXiv:1702.08608, 2017.

28. Z. Obermeyer and E. J. Emanuel, “Predicting the future—big data, machine learning, and clinical medicine,” New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 375, no. 13, pp. 1216–1219, 2016.

29. I. Y. Chen, S. Joshi, M. Ghassemi, and R. Ranganath, “Treating health disparities with artificial intelligence,” Nature Medicine, vol. 26, pp. 16–17, 2020.

30. M. Johnson et al., “MIMIC-III, a freely accessible critical care database,” Scientific Data, vol. 3, 160035, 2016.

31. T. Davenport and R. Kalakota, “The potential for artificial intelligence in healthcare,” Future Healthcare Journal, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 94–98, 2019.

32. R. Miotto, F. Wang, S. Wang, X. Jiang, and J. Dudley, “Deep learning for healthcare: review, opportunities and challenges,” Briefings in Bioinformatics, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 1236–1246, 2018.

33. J. Kelly, A. Karthikesalingam, M. Suleyman, G. Corrado, and D. King, “Key challenges for delivering clinical impact with artificial intelligence,” BMC Medicine, vol. 17, no. 1, 2019.

34. A. Esteva et al., “A guide to deep learning in healthcare,” Nature Medicine, vol. 25, pp. 24–29, 2019.

35. M. Tschandl, C. Rosendahl, and H. Kittler, “The HAM10000 dataset,” Scientific Data, vol. 5, 180161, 2018.

36. A. Rajpurkar et al., “CheXNet: Radiologist-level pneumonia detection on chest X-rays with deep learning,” arXiv:1711.05225, 2017.

37. D. Silver et al., “Mastering the game of Go without human knowledge,” Nature, vol. 550, pp. 354–359, 2017.

38. J. Pearl, The Book of Why: The New Science of Cause and Effect. New York, NY, USA: Basic Books, 2018.

39. K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun, “Deep residual learning for image recognition,” in Proc. IEEE CVPR, pp. 770–778, 2016.

 DOI: 10.36297/vw.applsci.v8i2.511 ISSN 2582-5615

40. A. Vaswani et al., "Attention Is All You Need," in Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, pp. 5998–6008, 2017.

41. T. Mikolov et al., "Efficient estimation of word representations in vector space," arXiv:1301.3781, 2013.

42. J. Devlin, M. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova, "BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers," in NAACL-HLT, pp. 4171–4186, 2019.

43. A. Haleem, M. Javaid, and R. P. Singh, "Telemedicine for healthcare: capabilities, features, barriers, and applications," Sensors International, vol. 2, 100117, 2021.

44. M. Javaid, A. Haleem, R. P. Singh, and S. Rab, "Significance of machine learning in healthcare," International Journal of Intelligent Networks, vol. 3, pp. 58–73, 2022.

45. S. Shickel, P. Tighe, A. Bihorac, and P. Rashidi, "Deep EHR: A survey of deep learning techniques for electronic health record analysis," IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 1589–1604, 2018.

46. R. Sutton and A. Barto, Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction, 2nd ed. Cambridge, MA, USA: MIT Press, 2018.

47. T. Fawcett, "An introduction to ROC analysis," Pattern Recognition Letters, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 861–874, 2006.

48. I. Goodfellow, Y. Bengio, and A. Courville, Deep Learning. Cambridge, MA, USA: MIT Press, 2016.

49. M. Chen, Y. Hao, K. Hwang, L. Wang, and L. Wang, "Disease prediction by machine learning over big healthcare data," IEEE Access, vol. 5, pp. 8869–8879, 2017.

50. A. Topol, "Artificial intelligence and healthcare," Nature Biotechnology, vol. 37, pp. 120–121, 2019.